

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_113319
Title of the Manuscript:	Activities of organic extracts of Cassia occidentalis leaves on the mortality and fecundity of Callosobruchus maculatus (main insect pest of Vigna unguiculata seed stocks)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is good. But, more work is required for its relevance in scientific community</p> <p>Evaluation of the extracts of <i>Cassia occidentalis</i> leaves on the mortality and fecundity of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i></p> <p>No. the abstract should be broken into; Aims, study design, methodology, results and conclusion No. there is no proper structuring of the manuscript. The author need to work on that.</p> <p>Yes. But, a lot of things need to be put in order.</p> <p>Insufficient references, most of them are not too out-dated. The literatures reviewed were too scanty.</p>	Revision made
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. there's a lot of tenses and grammar error. This needed to be addressed	Corrected
Optional/General comments	The arrangement of the manuscript is very poor. So, I advise thorough restructuring of the manuscript.	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	